○PATENT COOPERATION TH_ATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2005/009409 21.03.2005 19.03.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C04B40/00, C04B40/02 Applicant JAMES HARDIE INTERNATIONAL FINANCE B.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Gattinger, I

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6097



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/009409

_				
_	Box	No.	I Basis of the opinion	
1.	WitI the	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		langı	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following uage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international a necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and by to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. ty	a. type of material:		
	[⊐a	sequence listing	
	[□ ta	able(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. fo	b. format of material:		
	נ	□ in	written format	
	נ	⊐ in	computer readable form	
	c. ti	me of	filing/furnishing:	
	[□ c	ontained in the international application as filed.	
	נ	⊐ fil	led together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	כ	□ fu	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		has topic	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/009409

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Box No. V industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

10/593120 IAP9'Rec'd PCT/PTO 18 SEP

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/009409

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE 100 26 022 A1 (LINDE GAS AG) 6 December 2001 D2: US-A-5 697 307 (NELSON ET AL) 16 December 1997)

2. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for accelerating cement hydration comprising incorporating carbon dioxide either in gaseous form or dissolved in water into the cementitious composition (paragraph [0011]).

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that water as the absorbent carrier can not act as a component accelerating cement hydration. The subject-matter of claims 1 and 17 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT). The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as providing a cement set accelerating agent, which combines carbon dioxide hardening with handling properties of conventional accelerators.

Although carbon dioxide absorbed into a carrier solution of alkali metal carbonates or alkanolamines is known from D2 (column 22; lines 29 to 42), none of the documents discloses a hint to accelerate cement hydration in a multi-mode way by incorporating a mixture of an alkali activating and a carbonating accelerator. Thus, independent claim 1 is also considered to be inventive.

3. Claims 2 to 16 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- Within the description multiple citations are "incorporated by reference" (pg. 2; line 2; pg. 5; lines 8-9 and 23-24; pg.9; line 25), which is not allowable according to PCT-Guidelines II-4.17.
- The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 10; paragraph [0030] implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.